



AGENDA FOR AUPA'S BOARD MEETING  
TIME: 16.00  
LOCATION: Zoom

GUESTS:

ABSENT WITH APOLOGY: Matilde Jeppesen

1. Welcome
2. Formalities
  - Election of Chairman: René Bærentsen
  - Approval of minutes from the last meeting (available via Dropbox)
    - i. Minutes have been approved
3. Update on DM survey: Covid-19
4. PhD Supervision Conference
  - Last time we agreed that we will have the conference online
  - Tenna will contact the moderator
  - Speakers:
    - i. Ebba and Peter have confirmed that they would like to participate
      - A. We will start at 11.30 (per Peter's request)
      - B. The panelists will get the questions beforehand.
    - ii. Gitte would like to make a workshop and panel
      - A. Max number of participants is 50. We should ask her if it is possible to increase this to 100.
      - B. We should communicate to her that the participants will be at different stages in their PhD journeys, and hopefully they will all be able to benefit from this
    - iii. Sofie Kobayashi will most likely like to participate as speaker and in the panel.
      - A. She will send an abstract of her presentation
    - iv. Søren Bengtsen is not able to participate (alternatively we could just go with Gitte → 1 workshop)
    - v. The breaks will be shortened
  - Mathilde may have an exam that day → she has asked one of the other student assistants to help on this day.
  - Possibility of incorporating a social aspect in the conference?
    - i. We can encourage watching parties
    - ii. Virtual Friday-bar
      - A. René will ask someone about experience with virtual Friday-bars
5. AUPA letter
  - Matilde spoke with PhD association of Health

- i. They are willing to share the letter, but they think the tone of the letter is too confrontational
    - A. There are concrete changes that can be implemented.
  - ii. Is it better to share the letter now? It will delay the process if we implement the changes. This depends on how long we have to wait for the changes from Health. If it we don't have to wait too long, and the changes are relatively small and PHAUBUS approves the changes, we will include them in the letter. If not, we will send it just from AUPA.
  - iii. We will sign it just as the board of AUPA (not individual names).
  - iv. We should send the letter to two levels of management; the heads of graduate schools and the deans.
- Logical next step: We formally invite them to a meeting

#### 6. Deciding on the date for the next board meeting

- i. Mathilde has sent out a doodle

#### 7. Any other business?

- AU will allocate 10.000 hours across the faculties to be given to people who have taught during corona.
- If PhD students have done some of their teaching, they will be able to get some extra hours.
- Defenses: are oral/physical defenses allowed?
  - i. It varies across departments. It is the department leader who is in charge of making these decisions.
- This does not make sense. → Why are different measures being taken across departments?
  - i. Something as central as the PhD defense should be the same across faculties and departments.
- We have to make an event for our conference
  - i. Make a text/invitation and description of event → Anne, René, Nis
    - A. Tenna, Katia and Ana Lucia will forward the information from the different speakers.
  - ii. Make the sign-up link and event → Mathilde